

```
>> A = [1 2; 2 4.0001]
```

```
A =
```

```
1.0000    2.0000
2.0000    4.0001
```

```
>> b = [3; 6.0001]
```

```
b =
```

```
3.0000
6.0001
```

```
>> inv(A)*b
```

```
ans =
```

```
1
1
```

```
>> eig(A)
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.0000
5.0001
```

```
>> format long
```

```
>> eig(A)
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.000019999680004
5.000080000319996
```

```
>> A_perturbed = [1.0001 2; 2 4.0001]
```

```
A_perturbed =
```

```
1.0001000000000000    2.0000000000000000
2.0000000000000000    4.0001000000000000
```

```
>> eig(A_perturbed)
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.0001000000000000
5.0001000000000000
```

```
>> inv(A_perturbed)*b
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.199996000079409
1.399992000160637
```

• A simple demonstration of ill-conditioning using MATLAB.

← a 2×2 matrix \underline{A} that is almost linearly dependent (2nd row $\approx 2 \times$ 1st row)

← consider a vector \underline{b} and the linear equation $\underline{A}\underline{c} = \underline{b}$

← solve for $\underline{c} = \underline{A}^{-1}\underline{b}$ and we get a reasonable result.

← check the eigenvalues. One is zero (to the number of digits we printed).

← print more digits.

← now we see a very small eigenvalue \Rightarrow this signals trouble.

← a slight change (perturbation) of \underline{A}

← still has a small eigenvalue, although changed.

← but now $\underline{c}' = \underline{A}_{\text{perturbed}}^{-1}\underline{b}$ is radically different from $\underline{A}^{-1}\underline{b}$. This is a disaster for numerical calculations.

```
>> b_perturbed = [2.9999; 6.0001]
```

```
b_perturbed =
```

```
2.9999000000000000  
6.0001000000000000
```

← try perturbing b

```
>> inv(A)*b_perturbed
```

```
ans =
```

```
-3.0001000000019302  
3.0000000000014552
```

← the other calculations of c
go all over the place!

```
>> inv(A_perturbed)*b_perturbed
```

```
ans =
```

```
-0.600007999841182  
1.799984000321274
```

Clearly this is a problem we need to fix!
[more later...]

```
>>
```