

## Goldenfeld, Chapter 9

Exercise 9-1

(a) Kadanoff's scaling arguments give the results for the critical exponents in Eqs. (9.34-36):

$$\nu = \frac{1}{\gamma_t}$$

$$\eta = d+2 - 2\gamma_h$$

$$\Delta = \frac{\gamma_h}{\gamma_t}$$

Scaling arguments can also be used to express the critical exponent  $\beta$  in terms of  $\gamma_h$  and  $\gamma_t$ . The scaling relation for the most singular term in the free energy density is given in Eq. (9.17).

$$f_s(t, h) = l^{-d} f_s(l^{\gamma_t} t, l^{\gamma_h} h)$$

Differentiating both sides with respect to  $t$ , we get

$$\frac{\partial f_s}{\partial t}(t, h) = l^{-d} \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial t}(l^{\gamma_t} t, l^{\gamma_h} h) l^{\gamma_t}$$

If we choose  $l$  so  $l^{\gamma_h} h = 1$ , this becomes

$$\frac{\partial f_s}{\partial t}(t, h) = \left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{(\gamma_h - d)/\gamma_h} \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial t}\left(\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)^{\gamma_t/\gamma_h} t, 1\right)$$

Setting  $t=0$ , the reduces to

$$\frac{\partial f_S}{\partial h}(0, h) = h^{(d-\gamma_h)/\gamma_h} \frac{\partial f_S}{\partial h}(0, 1)$$

The left side is proportional to the magnetization at the critical temperature, so the implies

$$m \sim h^{(d-\gamma_h)/\gamma_h}$$

We can read off the critical exponent  $\beta$ :

$$\beta = \frac{\gamma_h}{d-\gamma_h}$$

The exponent  $\gamma_h$  can be expressed in terms of  $\gamma$ :

$$\gamma_h = \frac{d+2-\gamma}{2}$$

Inserting this into the expression for  $\beta$ , we find

$$\beta = \frac{d+2-\gamma}{d-2+\gamma}$$

(b) If we chose  $l$  so  $l^{\gamma_t}|t|=1$  in the scaling equation for the free energy density, it becomes

$$f_S(t, h) = \left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right)^{-d/\gamma_t} f_S(\pm 1, \left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right)^{\gamma_h/\gamma_t} h)$$

where the first argument on the right side is

the sign of  $t$ . We consider the possibility that the exponent  $\gamma_t$  can be different for  $t > 0$  and  $t < 0$ . Since the critical exponent for the correlation length is  $\nu = 1/\gamma_t$ , our scaling relation can be written

$$f_s(t, h) = |t|^{d\nu} f_s(\pm 1, |t|^{-\gamma_t \nu} h)$$

We can also express this as a power of  $h$  multiplied by a function of  $|t|^{-\gamma_t \nu} h$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_s(t, h) &= h^{d/\gamma_t} \left( \frac{h}{|t|^{-\gamma_t \nu}} \right)^{d/\gamma_t} f_s(\pm 1, h/|t|^{-\gamma_t \nu}) \\ &= h^{d/\gamma_t} \phi_{\pm}(h/|t|^{-\gamma_t \nu}) \end{aligned}$$

We consider the possibility that the correlation length exponent could have a value  $\nu'$  for  $t < 0$  that is different from its value  $\nu$  for  $t > 0$ .

For  $h > 0$ , the free energy  $f_s(t, h)$  must be a smooth function of  $t$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Continuity of  $f_s(t, h)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  requires

$$\phi_+(\infty) = \phi_-(\infty).$$

Smoothness also requires the derivatives with respect to  $t$  and  $h$  to be continuous. The logarithmic

derivatives are

$$t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_s(t, h) = h^{d/\gamma_h} \phi_{\pm}'(h/|t|^{\gamma_h \nu}) \left( -\gamma_h \nu \frac{h}{|t|^{\gamma_h \nu}} \right) \left( \pm \frac{t}{|t|} \right)$$

$$h \frac{\partial}{\partial h} f_s(t, h) = \frac{d}{\gamma_h} h^{d/\gamma_h} \phi_{\pm}(h/|t|^{\gamma_h \nu}) + h^{d/\gamma_h} \phi_{\pm}'(h/|t|^{\gamma_h \nu}) \frac{h}{|t|^{\gamma_h \nu}}$$

The conditions of smoothness at  $t=0$  can be written

$$-\gamma_h \nu \left[ x \phi_{+}'(x) \right]_{x=\infty} = -\gamma_h \nu' \left[ x \phi_{+}'(x) \right]_{x=\infty}$$

$$\frac{d}{\gamma_h} \phi_{+}(\infty) + \left[ x \phi_{+}'(x) \right]_{x=\infty} = \frac{d}{\gamma_h} \phi_{-}(\infty) + \left[ x \phi_{-}'(x) \right]_{x=\infty}$$

The 3 conditions together require  $\nu' = \nu$ .

## Goldenfeld, Chapter 9

Exercise 9-2

- (a) Among the evidence that "mean field theory works above  $d=4$ " is that the Ginsburg criterion is satisfied in the limit  $t \rightarrow 0$  for  $d > 4$ .

"Mean field theory violates hyperscaling because  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ ". The hyperscaling law that is violated is the Josephson scaling law in Eq. (8.3)

$$2 - \alpha = \nu d$$

This is consistent with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  only if  $d = 4$ .

- (b) The singular part of the free energy density satisfies the scaling relation

$$f_s(t, h, \tilde{K}_3) = t^{d/\nu t} f_s(1, h t^{-\gamma_h/\nu t}, \tilde{K}_3 t^{-\gamma_3/\nu t})$$

If  $f_s$  is smooth as  $\tilde{K}_3 \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$f_s(t, h, 0) = t^{d/\nu t} f_s(1, h t^{-\gamma_h/\nu t}, 0)$$

which implies the usual scaling relations.

Suppose the behavior as  $\tilde{K}_3 \rightarrow 0$  is singular:

$$f_s(t, h, \tilde{K}_3) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_3^{-\mu} \bar{f}(t, h)$$

The limiting behavior of both side of the scaling relation is

$$(\tilde{K}_3)^{-\mu} \bar{f}(t, h) = t^{d/\gamma_t} (\tilde{K}_3 t^{-\gamma_3/\gamma_t})^{-\mu} \bar{f}(1, h t^{-\gamma_h/\gamma_t})$$

This implies

$$\bar{f}(t, h) = t^{(d+\mu\gamma_3)/\gamma_t} \bar{f}(1, h t^{-\gamma_h/\gamma_t})$$

This disagrees with the usual scaling relations.

The analog of the Josephson hyperscaling law is obtained by differentiating twice with respect to  $t$ :

$$C \sim \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2 f_s(t, h)$$

$$t^{-\alpha} \sim t^{(d+\mu\gamma_3)/\gamma_t - 2}$$

$$\alpha = 2 - \frac{d+\mu\gamma_3}{\gamma_t}$$

Combining this with  $\nu = 1/\gamma_t$ , we get

$$2 - \alpha = (d + \mu\gamma_3)\nu$$

This differs from the Josephson hyperscaling law, which is obtained by setting  $\mu=0$ :

(c) In the Landau theory for  $d > 4$ ,  $\mu=1$  and the crossover exponent is

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_3 &= -\frac{d-4}{2} \nu_4 \\ &= -\frac{d-4}{2\nu} \end{aligned}$$

The hyperscaling relation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 2-\alpha &= \left(d - \frac{d-4}{2\nu}\right) \nu \\ &= d\nu - \frac{d}{2} + 2 \end{aligned}$$

This is satisfied for  $\alpha=0$  and  $\nu=\frac{1}{2}$  for any  $d$ . Thus Landau theory satisfies this hyperscaling relation for  $d > 4$ .