

Coupling Constant Renormalization

real scalar field theory

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m_0^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{24} \lambda_0 \phi^4$$

Feynman rules

$$\text{---} \overset{p}{\text{---}} \text{---} = \frac{i}{p^2 - m_0^2 + i\epsilon} \quad \text{---} \times \text{---} = -i\lambda_0$$

2 → 2 scattering

$$\text{cross section: } d\sigma = \frac{1}{4E_{\text{cm}} p_*} |\mathcal{M}(s,t)|^2 \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{p_*}{E_{\text{cm}}} d\Omega_*$$

1st order in λ_0

$$i\mathcal{M}_1 = \text{---} \times \text{---} = -i\lambda$$

2nd order in λ_0

$$i\mathcal{M}_2 = \text{---} \times \text{---} + \text{---} \times \text{---} + \text{---} \times \text{---}$$

diagrams are ultraviolet divergent integrals

impose Lorentz invariant momentum cutoff Λ

$$i \mathcal{M}_2(s, t) = i \frac{\lambda_0^2}{32\pi^2} \left[f_0(s) + f_0(t) + f_0(u) \right]$$

$$f_0(s) = \left[\log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - 1 - \int_0^1 dx \cdot \log \frac{-x(1-x)s + m^2 - i\epsilon}{m^2} \right]$$

diverges as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$

possible interpretations

- ϕ^4 interaction is unphysical?
- perturbation theory in λ_0 not valid?
- QFT intrinsically singular at short distances
divergence as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$
 \Rightarrow sensitivity to short distances

Problem

relation between cross section σ
and parameter λ_0 in \mathcal{L}
is sensitive to short distances

Solution

express σ in terms of coupling constant λ_r
defined in terms of physical observables

define "physical" coupling constant λ_{ph}
in terms of low-energy $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering

scattering at threshold: $s \rightarrow 4m^2$ ($\Rightarrow t \rightarrow 0, u \rightarrow 0$)

$$\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow -\lambda_{ph} \quad (\text{to all orders in } \lambda_{ph})$$

Express $\mathcal{M}(s, t)$ as expansion in powers of λ_{ph}
instead of λ_0

- calculate λ_{ph} as expansion in powers of λ_0

$$\begin{aligned} \text{to order } \lambda_0^2, \quad \lambda_{ph} &= \lambda_0 - \frac{\lambda_0^2}{32\pi^2} \left[\left(\log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - 3 \right) + 2 \left(\log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - 1 \right) \right] \\ &= \lambda_0 - \frac{\lambda_0^2}{32\pi^2} \left[3 \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - 5 \right] \end{aligned}$$

- invert to obtain λ_0 as power series in λ_{ph}

- invert to obtain λ_0 as expansion in powers of λ_{ph}
(can replace λ_0^2 by λ_{ph}^2 at this order)

- eliminate λ_0 from $\mathcal{M} = \lambda_0 + \frac{\lambda_0^2}{32\pi^2} \left[3 \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - 5 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_0^3)$

- eliminate λ_0 from \mathcal{M} in favor of λ_{ph}
(can replace λ_0^2 by λ_{ph}^2 at this order)

At higher orders in perturbations,
also need mass renormalization

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \phi \partial^\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m_0^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{24} \lambda_0^2 \phi^4$$

m_0 is not equal to physical mass m of particle

calculate m, λ_r as power series in λ_0

eliminate m_0, λ_0 from cross section
in favor of m, λ_r

expand cross section in powers of λ_r

UV divergences cancel at each order in λ_r

QFT cannot predict cross section $\sigma(s,t)$

as function of m_0, λ_0

but it can predict $\sigma(s,t)$ as function of m, λ_r

$$\Gamma M(s, t) = - \left[\lambda_{ph} + \frac{\lambda_{ph}^2}{32\pi^2} \left(3 \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - 5 \right) \right] \\ + \frac{\lambda_{ph}^2}{32\pi^2} \left[f_0(s) + f_0(t) + f_0(u) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{ph}^3)$$

$$f_0(s) = \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - 1 - \int_0^1 dx \frac{m^2 - x(1-x)s - i\epsilon}{m^2}$$

$$= - \lambda_{ph} + \frac{\lambda_{ph}^2}{32\pi^2} \left[f(s) + f(t) + f(u) \right]$$

$$f(s) = + \frac{2}{3} - \int_0^1 dx \frac{m^2 - x(1-x)s - i\epsilon}{m^2}$$

$\Gamma M(s, t)$ has no dependence on Λ
when expressed in terms of λ_{ph}

At higher orders in perturbation theory,
also need mass renormalization

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} d_\mu \phi d^\mu \phi - \frac{1}{2} m_0^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{24} \lambda_0 \phi^4$$

mass parameter m_0 is not equal to physical mass m_{ph}

calculate m_{ph} , and λ_{ph} as expansions in powers of λ_0
invert to obtain m_0, λ_0 as expansions in powers of λ_{ph}
eliminate m_0, λ_0 from ΓM in favor of m_{ph}, λ_{ph}
and expand in powers of λ_{ph}

ΓM has no dependence on Λ at any order in λ_{ph}